

Message

From: Wade.H.Hargrove@hawaii.gov [Wade.H.Hargrove@hawaii.gov]
Sent: 1/31/2015 12:52:22 AM
To: Huetteman, Tom [Huetteman.Tom@epa.gov]
CC: Gill, Gary L. [Gary.Gill@doh.hawaii.gov]; joanna.seto@doh.hawaii.gov; Linder, Steven [Linder.Steven@epa.gov]; Pallarino, Bob [Pallarino.Bob@epa.gov]; Takaba, Richard R [richard.takaba@doh.hawaii.gov]; roxanne.kwan@doh.hawaii.gov; Shalev, Omer [Shalev.Omer@epa.gov]; steven.chang@doh.hawaii.gov; stuart.yamada@doh.hawaii.gov; Perry, Thu [Thu.Perry@doh.hawaii.gov]
Subject: RE: Discussion on the SOW
Attachments: SOW sec3&4WHedits.docx

Tom, et al,

Per our conversation a minute ago, here are my suggestions. I have spoken at length with DOH about my concerns and I think we have a general consensus. Because of all the edits in the version Stuart was working off of, and some problems that still haven't been sorted out completely, I started clean from the version Bob sent on the 27th.

What I'm doing here is:

1. Make all references in the introductory sections refer to deliverables and "work to be performed". I'm trying to keep the focus not just on the paper, but also the shovel work.
2. For simplicity and to reduce confusion - let's get rid of _____ Decision Coc/Implementation Plan and replace all instances throughout the AOC with "_____ Decision Document". Basically, the Decision Doc should include a plan for implementation so, lets keep it simple and have the decision document followed by a specific statement/mandate that Navy shall implement what is outlined in the Doc. You'll see what I mean in the edits to 2 and 3.
3. Trying to fix the 5-year re-eval problem. If it means anything at all, we have to make clear that any new tech is then BAPT and must be applied to any tanks that don't already have it when they come up for repair/maint. I've done this by tying the TUA implementation schedule to the re-eval.

I'm still looking at this myself and was scrambling to get something for you to see today, but let's get started talking about this when you can. Please don't distribute this outside EPA/DOH until we have discussed.

Thanks,

Wade

Wade H. Hargrove III
Deputy Attorney General
Health and Human Services Division
465 South King Street, Room 200
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
(808) 587-3050 - phone
(808) 587-3077 - fax
wade.h.hargrove@hawaii.gov

NOTICE: This message may contain confidential and/or privileged attorney-client information and is for the exclusive use of the intended recipient(s). If you feel you may have received this message in error, please destroy this message and any copies immediately and notify the sender via reply email.

From: "Huetteman, Tom" <Huetteman.Tom@epa.gov>
To: "stuart.yamada@doh.hawaii.gov" <stuart.yamada@doh.hawaii.gov>, "Linder, Steven" <Linder.Steven@epa.gov>, "Pallarino, Bob"

<Pallarino.Bob@epa.gov>, "Shalev, Omer" <Shalev.Omer@epa.gov>, "Wade.H.Hargrove@hawaii.gov" <Wade.H.Hargrove@hawaii.gov>, "steven.chang@doh.hawaii.gov" <steven.chang@doh.hawaii.gov>, "roxanne.kwan@doh.hawaii.gov" <roxanne.kwan@doh.hawaii.gov>, "Takaba, Richard R" <richard.takaba@doh.hawaii.gov>, "Perry, Thu" <Thu.Perry@doh.hawaii.gov>, "joanna.seto@doh.hawaii.gov" <joanna.seto@doh.hawaii.gov>, "Gill, Gary L." <Gary.Gill@doh.hawaii.gov>
Date: 01/30/2015 10:09 AM
Subject: RE: Discussion on the SOW

Stuart and I discussed the changes. We made a slight modification, but will incorporate these changes in the document that will be sent to the Navy. No need for a call. Look for an email to the Navy in the next hour or so. Thanks! Tom

P.S. – Enjoy a good send-off party for Gary!

Tom Huetteman, Assistant Director
Land Division, USEPA Region 9
415-972-3751

From: Yamada, Stuart H. [<mailto:stuart.yamada@doh.hawaii.gov>]

Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 11:32 AM

To: Linder, Steven; Pallarino, Bob; Huetteman, Tom; Shalev, Omer; Wade.H.Hargrove@hawaii.gov; steven.chang@doh.hawaii.gov; roxanne.kwan@doh.hawaii.gov; Takaba, Richard R; Perry, Thu; joanna.seto@doh.hawaii.gov; Gill, Gary L.

Subject: RE: Discussion on the SOW

Importance: High

Good Morning Everyone,

Yesterday afternoon, Steve, Wade, Roxanne, Rich, Thu, and I had a lengthy meeting on the latest revisions transmitted by Bob on 1/28/15. After airing out some of the concerns, the discussion eventually focused upon the lack of clarity or presumption of what is required of the Navy and DLA when a tank with initial or subsequent BAPT reaches its agreed upon end of service life or inspection and repair cycle. Attached is the attempt to try and address this concern. Comments are also provided to help you identify these areas (section 3, Tank Upgrade Alternatives, 3rd paragraph in the introductory discussion and section 3.5, Tank Upgrade Alternatives Decision Document/Implementation Plan).

We are looking forward to our conference call with you at 1:00 p.m. PST. Please note that this will be Gary's last conference call.

Stuart

P.S. Tom and I just spoke and it is a possibility that the conference call may not be necessary. Please standby.

From: Kwan, Roxanne S

Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2015 4:42 PM

To: 'Steven Linder EPA'; Bob Pallarino (pallarino.bob@epa.gov); Huetteman, Tom; Shalev, Omer

Cc: Chang, Steven Y; Yamada, Stuart H.; 'Wade.H.Hargrove@hawaii.gov'; Perry, Thu; Takaba, Richard R

Subject: Discussion on the SOW

Importance: High

Hi folks...

We had a discussion on Section 3.0 Tank Upgrades Alternatives this afternoon. Stuart will be drafting proposed language and send it out this morning. Please take a look at it and we would like to discuss with you at 11am HST (1 pm PST).

Please let me know if you are available. Let me also know which phone number to call.

Roxanne